

STUDIES ON THE RESPONSES OF EUCHEUMA STRIATUM  
TO ORGANIC POLLUTION IN CEBU HARBOUR  
AND MAGELLAN BAY

---

A Thesis

Presented to

the Faculty of the Graduate School  
University of San Carlos  
Cebu City

---

In Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the Degree  
Master of Science in Biology

---

by

Mrs. Genoveva G. Padilla

March, 1977

167536 c.3  
UNIVERSITY OF SAN CARLOS  
LIBRARY


PROPERTY OF USC LIBRARY SYSTEM

26 APR 1980

APPROVAL SHEET

This thesis entitled "Studies on the Responses of Eucheuma Striatum to Organic Pollution in Cebu Harbour and Magellan Bay," prepared and submitted by Mrs. Genoveva G. Padilla in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE IN BIOLOGY has been examined and is recommended for acceptance and approval for ORAL EXAMINATION.

Thesis Committee


  
ULRICH HORSTMANN, Ph.D.  
Adviser

  
ENRIQUE SCHOENIG, SVD, Ph.D.  
Member

  
NORBERT RAU, M.S.  
Member

PANEL OF EXAMINERS


Approved by the Committee on Oral Examination with a grade of Passed.

  
ALICIA J. TAN, Ph.D.  
Chairman

  
ULRICH HORSTMANN, Ph.D.  
Adviser

  
ENRIQUE SCHOENIG, SVD, Ph.D.  
Member


  
NORBERT RAU, M.S.  
Member

  
ARACELI G. AIMASE, Ph.D.  
Representative

DEC Region VII, Central Visayas  
Cebu City

Accepted and approved in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE IN BIOLOGY.

Comprehensive Examinations Passed: May 5 and 12, 1975.

  
ALICIA J. TAN, Ph.D.  
Dean, Graduate School

December 18, 1976

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express her deepest gratitude to the following persons who in one way or another assisted her in making the task of completing the study possible;

To Dr. Ulrich Horstmann, her adviser, for his sincere efforts in helping her during the experimental phase of the study, his encouragement and his challenging remarks on the manuscript;

To George B. Padilla, her husband, for assisting in the statistical analysis of the data and his inspiration;

To Miss Aida Colina, Rev. Fr. Enrique Schoenig, SVD, and Mr. Norbert Rau, for sharing her some valuable literature and for checking the manuscript;

To Vicente Rosaroso, Miss Celia Orano, Miss Froserfina Cavales and Simeon Pangatungan, for assisting in the gathering of the data;

To Dan Boatwright, for availing to her some of the chemical apparatus in the Chemistry laboratory;

To Paciente Desamparado and Gene Gayon, for drawing the map and making the graphs;

To Enrique Gabutin, for editing the manuscript;

To Rose Tagaan, Editha Limpio and Aida Lumacang,

for typing the manuscript;

To the University Research Council, Mindanao State University, and Rev. Fr. Joseph Goertz, SVD, head of the Research and Scholarship Department through Dr. Ulrich Horstmann, to whom she is very much indebted for the financial assistance for the research project.

## ABSTRACT

BOD<sub>5</sub> is an index of the amount of organic substances in the water. High % BOD<sub>5</sub> is taken to indicate the total organic substance derived from pollutants, phytoplankton, autochthonous detritus and dissolved organic substances. The sources of eutrophication are the inorganic limiting nutrients and dissolved organic substances which originate from organic pollution in the harbour.

In general, the culture of Eucheuma in areas with organic pollution is favorable since it increases growth rate. However, there are positive and negative aspects in the environment which influence the growth of Eucheuma.

The positive aspects are:

1. High % O<sub>2</sub> content (supersaturation) and correspondingly high CO<sub>2</sub> content during the night favors growth of Eucheuma. Exceptional growth of Eucheuma can be observed during intensive phytoplankton blooms.

2. Current favors the growth of Eucheuma in areas with organic pollution. In the absence of high current velocity, surface waves are found to favor the growth of Eucheuma. Consequently this favors the culture of Eucheuma in rafts.

3. Aside from this, the culture in rafts is advantageous because it: (1) assures constant favorable light conditions in turbid waters and prevents prolonged exposure at very low tides; (2) minimizes predation; (3) and promotes good efficiency in the maintenance of Eucheuma farms.

As the negative aspects in areas with organic pollution are considered:

1. Turbidity and reduced light conditions influence growth of Eucheuma especially those plants which are fixed in a certain depth where tide water levels change frequently.

2. The settling of detritus (silt) on the thalli of the plants decreases growth rate of Eucheuma.

3. Dilution of the seawater as a result of run-offs from sewage effluents, rivers, creeks and other outlets is one of the most critical factors influencing the growth of Eucheuma. Fluctuations in salinity were found to be the

main source of the presence of white-tips, epiphytes and the bacterial disease "ice-ice" on the algae.

4. In areas where oil films frequently existed, severe damages of Eucheuma are manifested.

5. There are a number of other factors such as toxic organic and inorganic substances, reduced CO<sub>2</sub> content in the seawater due to autotrophic bacterial activity and pH changing factors which when found in high concentration adversely affect the growth of Eucheuma in polluted areas.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT . . . . .	v
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	x
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	xii
 Chapter	
1. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
Statement of the problem and purpose of the study . . . . .	1
Description and economic importance of the species . . . . .	2
Literature review . . . . .	5
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS . . . . .	9
Experimental Method . . . . .	9
Description and location of the stations . . . . .	9
Description of the different culture methods . . . . .	12
Description of the physico-chemical parameters . . . . .	17
Limitations . . . . .	22
Statistical Methods . . . . .	23
Sampling method . . . . .	23
Partial correlation . . . . .	23
Multiple correlation . . . . .	24
Polynomial regression . . . . .	25
3. RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS . . . . .	26
Hydrography and Sources of Pollution . . . . .	26

PROPERTY OF USC LIBRARY SYSTEM

Chapter	Page
Preliminary Results and Observations . . . . .	27
Formalized Results and Observations . . . . .	28
First trial: Plant Hanger . . . . .	32
Second trial: Small raft . . . . .	45
General Deductions . . . . .	50
General Deductions . . . . .	54
General Deductions . . . . .	60
General Deductions . . . . .	63
General Deductions . . . . .	67
General Deductions . . . . .	71
General Deductions . . . . .	76
Statistical Results . . . . .	76
Partial correlation . . . . .	76
Multiple correlation . . . . .	79
Polynomial regressions . . . . .	81
Summary . . . . .	89
4. DISCUSSION . . . . .	90
Aspects on the culture of <u>Eucheuma striatum</u> Schmitz in areas with organic pollution . . . . .	91
Positive aspects . . . . .	91
Fertilizing effects . . . . .	91
Gas exchange . . . . .	93
Current velocity and wave motion . . . . .	93
Raft culture method . . . . .	94



Chapter	ix Page
Negative aspects . . . . .	95
Salinity . . . . .	95
Turbidity . . . . .	96
Oil pollution . . . . .	98
Effects of bacterial population . . . . .	99
5. SUMMARY . . . . .	102
6. RECOMMENDATION . . . . .	105
REFERENCES . . . . .	106
APPENDIX . . . . .	110

## LIST OF TABLES

PROPERTY OF USC LIBRARY SYSTEM

Table	Page
1. Summarized Description of Stations . . . . .	110
2. Average Values of Physico-Chemical Factors from May 15 to September 13 for Preliminary Observations: Plant Hanger . . . . .	113
3. Weeks of Maximum GR in Each Station May - September . . . . .	114
4. Station at Liloan Bay November 6 to December 10 (34 days) . . . . .	116
5. The Basis for Averages of the Values for the Physico-Chemical Factors as Determined from the Data Taken from All Stations During the Entire Period of Experimentation . . . . .	117
6. <b>Data:</b> Averages for the first trial Station 1 . . . . .	118
7. <b>Data:</b> Averages for the first trial Station 2 . . . . .	118
8. <b>Data:</b> Averages for the first trial Station 3 . . . . .	119
9. <b>Data:</b> Averages for the first trial Station 4 . . . . .	119
10. <b>Data:</b> Averages for the first trial Station 5 . . . . .	120
11. <b>Data:</b> Averages for the first trial Station 6 . . . . .	120
12. <b>Data:</b> Averages for the first trial Station 7 . . . . .	121
13. <b>Data:</b> Averages for the second trial Station A . . . . .	121
14. <b>Data:</b> Averages for the second trial Station 1 . . . . .	122